

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION  
AND TRAINING

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE  
AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ANIMAL SCIENCE

**HOANG THI HONG NHUNG**

**CULTIVATION AND UTILIZATION  
OF MORINGA (*MORINGA OLEIFERA*)  
FODDER IN COLOURED FEATHER  
CHICKEN PRODUCTION**

Major: Animal nutrition and feed

Code number: 9 62 01 07

**BRIEF INFORMATION OF PhD THESIS**

Ha Noi – 2021

The work was completed at National institute of animal science  
Supervisor:

1. Assoc Prof. Dr. Tu Trung Kien
2. Dr. Tran Thi Bich Ngoc

Phản biện 1: Assoc Prof. Dr. Nguyen Thi Thuy My

Phản biện 2: Assoc Prof. Dr. Cao Van

Phản biện 3: Dr. Pham Cong Thieu

The dissertation will be defended at the National thesis council at:  
National institute of animal sciences.

Time: ...h date....Month .....year of 20...

The dissertation can be found at:

1. National library
2. Library of NIAS

## **PUBLISHED SCIENTIFIC WORKS RELATED TO THE THESIS**

1. Hoang Thi Hong Nhung, Tu Trung Kien, Tran Thi Bich Ngoc, Pham Tuan Hiep, Tu Quang Hien. 2020. A Study on plant density of *Moringa oleifera* for animal feed at the Thai Nguyen province, Vietnam Journal of agriculture and rural development, No 394 october 2020, 29 – 35.
2. Hoang Thi Hong Nhung, Tu Trung Kien, Tran Thi Bich Ngoc, Tu Quang Hien. 2021. Replacement of soybean meal by *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in Luong Phuong layer parents stock diet. TNU Journal of science and Technology, 226 (01) 2021, 99 – 105.
3. TU Q. HIEN; TRAN T. HOAN; MAI A. KHOA; TU T. KIEN; PHAN T. HUONG; HOANG T. H. NHUNG. 2017. Nutrient digestibility determination of cassava, leucaena, stylosanthes, moringa and trichanthera leaf meals in chickens. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 23 (No 3) 2017, 476–480.
4. Tu Quang Hien, Hoang Thi Hong Nhung, Tu Quang Trung and Mai Anh Khoa. 2021. Replacement of soybean meal by *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in broiler diet. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 27 (No 4) 2021, 769 – 775.

## **1. Introduction**

Currently, animal feed price has been increasing rapidly due to climate change and high grain demand for humans. According to the Department of Livestock Production, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, during the first eight months of 2021, Vietnam imported 5.09 million tons of protein-rich feed, cost 2.27 billion USD (increasing 28% in comparison to the similar period of 2020); of which soybean meal increased by 35.5% comparing to 2020. Therefore, local alternative protein-rich ingredient which could replace imported one is very important and necessary to enhance animal feed production security in Vietnam.

*Moringa oleifera* (*M. oleifera*) has been demonstrated to utilize as rich-protein ingredient in animal feed. *M. oleifera* leaves contain 32.07 – 35.19% crude protein in dry matter with high digestibility protein (Fahey et al., 2001; Tu Quang Hien, 2019). Nutrient compositions of *M. oleifera* leaves quite similar with those of soybean meal, such as essential amino acids profile, crude fiber (5.9%), ash (12%), crude fat (7.09%) with 57% fatty acids in leaves are unsaturated fatty acids (Bin Su and Xiaoyang Chen, 2020). *M. oleifera* leaves and fruit are rich in carotene, vitamin C and a balance of amino acids profile (Makkar and Becker, 1996). *M. oleifera* has been considered as good source of food for humans, livestock and poultry (Afuang et al., 2003).

However, the studies on *M. oleifera* cultivation techniques mainly focus on green vegetables and medicinal herbs production for human, while the study on green fodder production to replace imported ingredients in poultry diet has been still limited. Therefore, this study aims to fill the gaps in the study of *M. oleifera* as animal feed.

## **2. Objectives of the project**

The project aims to investigate:

The effects of planting density, cutting intervals, and the appropriate level of nitrogen fertilization on growth performance and nutrient composition of *M. oleifera* plants.

The nutrients digestibility (protein, lipid, fiber, nitrogen free extract, derivatives) and energy value of *M. oleifera* leaf meal in chickens.

The effects of *M. oleifera* leaf meal replacing ration with soybean meal as protein resources on the performance of Luong Phuong broilers and breeder.

**\* *Scientific significance***

The results of study determined the effects of cultivation density, cutting interval, and nitrogen fertilization on the *M. oleifera* fodder growth performance; the nutrients digestibility and energy value of *M. oleifera* leaf meal in chickens; the effects of replacing soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the diets on performance of Luong Phuong broilers and breeder. These results can be used as material for education and scientific research in the field of animal feed and nutrition.

**\* *Practical significance***

Animal production could apply the results of the project to improve the yield and quality of *M. oleifera* leaf meal, improve livestock production, product quality, while it could reduce the cost of chicken feed.

The results of nutrient digestibility and metabolizable energy of *M. oleifera* leaf meal studies are the scientific evidence for formulate chickens diets containing *M. oleifera* leaf meal.

**\* *New contributions of the dissertation***

This project studies on a number of cultivative techniques including planting density, cutting interval and nitrogen fertilization rate for *M. oleifera* cultivation as fodder for animal feed production.

This project studies on the potential of replacing soybean meal with *M. oleifera* leaf meal in the feed of coloured feathers broilers and breeder.

The results of this dissertation open a direction to exploit and use effectively and sustainably *M. oleifera* (Moringa) as raw materials for animal feed in Vietnam.

## **Chapter 1**

### **DOCUMENTARY OVERVIEW**

#### **1.1. Scientific evidence of research problem**

The dissertation showed the biological characteristics; fertility, regeneration and propagation of *M. oleifera*; factors affecting the growth and yield of *M. oleifera* (especially climatic factors, soil, cultivation techniques: varieties, planting density, fertilizers, harvesting techniques); the chemical composition and use value of the plant (especially the leaves of *M. oleifera*). Accordingly, the results of references were considered as scientific evidences for this study.

#### **1.2. Situation of study and use of *M. oleifera* in chicken production**

*M. oleifera* is supplied in chicken diets with different purposes, such as improving growth and feed utilization, disease resistance, enhancing product quality of chickens, reducing feed costs, and improving chicken performance, increasing efficiency of chicken production. For these purposes, *M. oleifera* has been utilized in the broiler and layer diet as a rich-protein supplement, feed ingredient, biologically active substances or pharmaceutical drug. The recommended levels of *M. oleifera* leaf meal in chicken diets of all references were considered as the scientific evidence for the studies of the dissertation.

## **Chapter 2**

### **CONTENTS AND METHODOLOGY OF STUDY**

#### **2.1. Materials, places and time period of study**

**2.1.1. Material of study:** *M. oleifera* (Moringa) fodder; *M. oleifera* dried leaf meal; Luong Phuong broiler and breeder.

#### **2.1.2. Research location**

*M. oleifera* (Moringa) and experimental chicken were conducted on the Poultry Farm, Faculty of Animal science and veterinary Medicine, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Thai Nguyen City, Thai Nguyen Province.

Soil samples, leaf meal, feed, chicken meat, eggs were analysed at the Institute of Life Sciences, Thai Nguyen University.

**2.1.3. Time period of study** was From 2017 to 2021.

## **2.2. Contents of study**

Determine the cultivation density, nitrogen fertilization level and interval cutting for *M. oleifera* fodder.

Determination of nutrient digestibility and metabolizable energy value of *M. oleifera* leaf meal in Luong Phuong broiler.

Determination of the possibility replacing soybean meal with *M. oleifera* leaf meal in the Luong Phuong broilers and breeder diets.

## **2.3. Methodology of study**

### **2.3.1. Meteorology and soil chemical composition of the experimental area**

The data of temperature, humidity and rainfall were collected from the hydro-meteorological station of Thai Nguyen province.

The chemical composition of the soil in the experimental area included: pH, total nitrogen, total P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, easily digestible P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, total metabolic K<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was analyzed at the Institute of Life Sciences, Thai Nguyen University following to the conventional method.

### **2.3.2. Experiment 1: Study on planting appropriate density of *M. oleifera* plants**

**Table 2.1. Experimental design to determine the *M. oleifera* cultivation density**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Treatment 1 (NT1)</b>	<b>Treatment 2 (NT2)</b>	<b>Treatment 3 (NT3)</b>	<b>Treatment 4 (NT4)</b>
Planting density (plant/hectare)	125.000	100.000	83.500	71.500
Intervals for planting (m)	0,2 m x 0,4 m	0,2m x 0,5 m	0,2m x 0,6 m	0,2m x 0,7 m
Manure (kg/hectare/year)	- Chicken manure 20 tons, phosphorus: 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , potassium: 80 kg K <sub>2</sub> O (calculated for 1 hectare/year). - Nitrogen 60 kgN/hectare 1 month after planting			
Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	24 m <sup>2</sup> x 5			

The first harvest was carried out 4 months after sowing seeds in pot (or 3 months after planting seedlings), after the first harvest, the

interval harvest was 9 days in January and February. The plant was firstly harvested at 45 – 50 cm of height above the ground, while the interval cutting were 10 cm higher than the first cutting point.

\* Observation parameters: Total biomass, fresh leaves yeild and dry matter of each cutting time (kg/ha/interval cutting); Annual total biomass fresh leaves yeild, dry matter, crude protein (tons/hectare/year); Production costs per hectare/2 years and 1 kg of leaf meal.

\* Observation methods: Monitoring the production and yield according to Tu Quang Hien et al., (2002).

### 2.3.3. Experiment 2: Determination of effective nitrogen fertilization level for *M. oleifera* cultivation

**Table 2.2. Experimental design for determination of effective nitrogen ferrtilizer level**

Item	Treatment 1(NT1)	Treatment 2 (NT2)	Treatment 3 (NT3)	Treatment 4 (NT4)	Treatment 5(NT5)
Nitrogen ferrtilizer level(kgN/hectare /interval cutting)	0	20	40	60	80
Fertilizer (kg/hectare/year)	Chicken manure 20 tons, phosphorus: 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , potassium: 80 kg K <sub>2</sub> O (calculated for 1 hectare/year).				
Planting density(plant/hect are)	83.500				
Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	24 m <sup>2</sup> x 5				

The methodology of harvesting, and productivity and yield calculation were the same as Experiment 1.

\* Observation were the same as those of experiment 1. In addition, the below observation was added:

Efficiency of dry matter and crude protein production of nitrogenous fertilizers; chemical composition of leaves, including: dry matter, crude protein, crude lipid, crude fiber, non nitrogen-extraction, crude ash and amino acids profile in leaf protein.

\* Observation methods



Observation of productivity and yield was the same those of experiment 1.

Sampling and analysis of dry matter, proteins, lipids, fibers, Non-protein nitrogen compounds, total minerals, were carried out according to Vietnamese standards (TCVN) of, animal feed 2001 and 2007.

### **2.3.4. Experiment 3: Determination of effective cutting interval of *M. oleifera* plant**

**Table 2.3. Experimental design for effective cutting interval**

Item	Treatment1 (NT1)	Treatment 2 (NT2)	Treatment 3 (NT3)	Treatment 4 (NT4)	Treatment 5 (NT5)
Interval cutting period (day/ interval cutting)	30	40	50	60	70
fertilizer	Chicken manure 20 ton, Phosphate fertilizer 40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , Potassium: 80 kg K <sub>2</sub> O, (calculated for 1 hectare/year), nitrogenous fertilizer 60kg N/ ha/ cutting time.				
Planting density(plants/hectare)	83.500				
Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	24 m <sup>2</sup> x 5				

\* Observations and methodology were the same as those of experiment 1 as those of experiments 1 and 2, excepted amino acids.

### **2.3.5. Experiment 4: Determination of nutrient digestibility and metabolic energy value of *M. oleifera* leaf meal**

#### **2.3.5.1. Experiment 4a: Determination of nutrient digestibility of *M. oleifera* leaf meal**

The experiment included 36 Luong Phuong broiler chicken at the age of 43 – 50 days old. The chicken were equally divided into 2 groups, which contained 3 sub groups with 6 chickens /sub group (3 males + 3 females).

The experiment chicken were fed 2 diets: Group I was fed with the control diet without *M. oleifera* leaf meal; Group II was fed with experimental diet consisting of 80% control ration + 20% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (w/w). Exactly 1,5% Celite (SiO<sub>2</sub>) [acid Insoluble Ash (AIA)] was added for each ration. The nutrients digestibility of *M. oleifera* leaf meal

was calculated based on the nutrients digestibility of control and experimental diets.

The chemical composition of diets were analyzed before experiment conducting and calculated base on dry matter. The observation parameters included dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), crude fat (EE), crude fiber (CF), Ash, nitrogen-free extract (NFE) and acid insoluble minerals (AIA). *M. oleifera* leaf meal was also analyzed for the above parameters, except for AIA.

**2.3.5.2. Experiment 4b: Determination of metabolic energy value of leaf meal of *M. oleifera***

\* Methodology:

Metabolic energy of *M. oleifera* leaf meal was determined by using the method: "Determination of metabolic energy of feed corrected with the amount of nitrogen of feed accumulated in the body of chickens".

\* Experimental design:

The experiment included 36 Luong Phuong broiler chickens at the age of 43 – 50 days old. The chicken were equally divided into 2 groups, which contained 5 sub groups with 4 chickens /sub group (2 males + 2 females).

Group1 was fed with the control diet while group 2 was fed with the experimental diet consisting of 80% control diet + 20% *M. oleifera* leaf meal. These diets were supplemented with exactly 1,5% Celite (SiO<sub>2</sub>), the acid-insoluble mineral (AIA).

**2.3.6. Experiment 5: Partial replacement of soybean meal with *M. oleifera* leaf meal in broiler diets**

**Table 2.4. Design Diagram 5**

Item	Treatment1 NT1 (0%)	Treatment2 NT2 (20%)	Treatment3 NT3 (30%)	Treatment4 NT4 (40%)	Treatment5 NT5 (50%)
Breed	Broiler Luong Phuong chicken				
Number	10 chickens/ repeated time (balanced roosters and hens)				
Repeated times (n =9)	9 times x 10 chickens = 90 chickens'/treatment				
Experimental	15 – 70 days of age				

time period 56 days					
Housing	Housing in semi-confinement type				
Feed(15–70 days of age)	KP NT1	KP NT2	KP NT3	KP NT4	KP NT5

*Note: Treatment1 sign NT*

\* Experimental chicken feed:

Experimental feed was formulated from corn meal, rice bran, fish meal (60% protein), soybean meal extract (44% protein), vegetable oil, *M. oleifera* leaf meal and other feed additive.

The protein of soybean meal (PKD) was partly replaced by of *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein (PBL) in the diet, following: 100% soybean meal + 0% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment1); 80% soybean meal + 20% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment2), 70% soybean meal + 30% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment3); 60% soybean meal + 40% *M.oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment4), 50% soybean meal + 50% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment5). In totally, *M. oleifera* leaf meal consisted with 0%; 5,30%; 8,0%; 10,7% and 13,4% in the chicken diet. These ratios were applied to both 15 – 42 and 43 – 70 days old period diets.

Formula and nutritional value of concentration feed met the nutrient requirements for coloured broilers.

\* Observation parameters:

Survival rate (%); body weight (kg), average daily gain (g/chick/day); feed intake (g) and feed conversion ratio/kg weight gain (kg feed/kg weight gain); Economic efficiency Index, PI and EN.

Carcass quality: carcass ration, thigh-drumstick ratio, breast meat ratio, abdominal fat; the chicken skin colour; dry matter, protein, lipid content of breast meat and thigh-drumstick meat; water loss of meat after storage and processing.

\* Observation methods:

Grow performance, feed utilization and economic efficiency were calculated following the guideline of Bui Huu Doan et al., 2011; Tran Thanh Van et al., (2015). Slaughtering method and carcass quality were carried out following Bui Quang Tien (1993).

Feed sampling and analysis of dry matter, protein, lipid of meat were followed the guideline of Vietnamese Standards (TCVN), animal feed 2001 and 2007 (analysis at Institute of Life Sciences – Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry).

### 2.3.7. Experiment 6: Partial replacement of soybean meal with *M. oleifera* leaf meal in laying hens diets

**Table 2.7. Diagram of experimen**

Item	Treatment1 NT1 (0%)	Treatment2 NT2 (30%)	Treatment3 NT3 (40%)	Treatment4 NT4 (50%)
Breeding	Luong Phuong Breeder			
Number	30 hens + 4 cocks/ repeated time			
Repeated time (n = 3)	3 times x ( 30 hens + 4 cocks)/ treatment			
Experimental tine period (16 weeks)	35 – 50 weeks of age			
Housing method	Confinement in open sided poultry house			
Experimental feed	KP NT1	KP NT2	KP NT3	KP NT4

*Note: Treatment 1 sign NT*

\* Experimental chicken feed

Experimental feed was formulated from corn meal, rice bran, fish meal (58,5% protein), soybean meal (43,6% protein), vegetable oil, *M. oleifera* leaf meal and other feed additive.

All experimental diets had the same crude protein ratio of 17% and metabolic energy 2750 kcal/1kg of feed.

The protein of soybean meal (PKD) was partly replaced by of *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein (PBL) in the diet, following: 100% soybean meal + 0% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment1); 70% soybean meal + 30% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment2); 60%

soybean meal + 40% *M.oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment4), 50% soybean meal + 50% *M. oleifera* leaf meal (Treatment5). In totally, *M. oleifera* leaf meal consisted with 0%; 8,0%; 10,7% and 13,5% in the chicken diet.

Formula and nutritional value of concentration feed met the nutrient requirements for coloured broilers.

\* Observation parameters

Egg laying rate, yield, egg productivity; Feed conversion rate/10 eggs, 10 bedding eggs, feed conversion ratio and feed cost for 1 class newly hatched chicks.

Egg quality was observed following parameters of egg weight, morphological index, egg yolk weigh, egg albumen weight, shell weight; ratio of yolk and albumen, and egg shell; albumen index, yolk index.

Chemical compositions of egg were analysed for dry matter, crude protein, crude lipids of yolk and albumen; yolk depth.

The hatching abilities was observed, including: ratio of embryo eggs /incubated eggs, hatching rate/ embryos eggs, ratio of type I chicks/incubated eggs and embryos.

\* Observation methods

The chickens feed intake and the hen-day eggs production in each group were monitored and recorded. 6 eggs/treatment were weekly collected to investigate egg quality and averaged; a total consisted of 16 investigation in 16 weeks of experiment. Eggs that collected at 3rd, 6th, 9th, 12th and 15th weeks of experiment were used for analysing the chemical composition (n = 5).

From 38 week – 42 week of laying, 300 eggs/treatment were incubated once a week. The incubation trays of each treatment were

marked to calculate the number of embryo eggs, hatching ratio, and type 1 newly hatched chicks of each treatment.

Dry matter, protein, lipids of yolk, and egg albumen were analysed according to TCVN.

Yolk carotenoids was analysed by using high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). Yolk colour was measure by coloured comparison with Roche colorimetric fan.

The analysis was carried out at the Institute of Life Sciences – Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry.

#### **2.4. Data processing methods**

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and DUNCAN Comparison of experiments 1, 2, 3 data was performed by the Software IRRISTAT 5.0 according to the guideline of Do Thi Oanh and Hoang Van Phu (2012).

Statistical analytical model of the analysis was as follows:

$$y_{ij} = + T_i + R_j + e_{ij}$$

In which:  $y_{ij}$  : study criteria

$\mu$  : : Population average

$T_i$  : Effect of the experimental formula ( $i = 1 \rightarrow n$ ) ( $n$  depends on the number of treatments of each experiment)

$R_j$  : Effect of repetition ( $i = 1 \rightarrow 5$ )

$e_{ij}$  : Effect of randomness

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey *Test for Pairwise Mean* comparisons of experiments 4, 5, 6 data were carried out in Minitab software version 18.1 following the guideline of Truong Huu Dung et al. (2018).

The model of the statistical analysis s as as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = + T_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

Where:  $Y_{ij}$ : is the dependent variable

$\mu$ : Population average

Tij : Effect of treatment  $i = 1 \rightarrow n$  (n depends on the number of treatments of each experiment)

eij : Effect of random factors

## Chapter 3

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Meteorology and chemical composition of soil of the experimental area

The average temperature in the year of the study area was 23,9°C; the temperatures from April - September was optimum for plant growth; and the previous year October to next year March had adverse effects on the growth and yield of forage plants. The average humidity of the area was 81,3%; The average rainfall of the monitoring two years was 1857,9 mm/year.

The experimental soil pH is 6.51, while its fertility is medium.

#### 3.2. Determination of optimum cultivation density for *M. oleifera*

##### 3.2.1. Effect of different cultivation densities on *M. oleifera* yield

**Table 3.3. Effect of different cultivation densities on *M. oleifera* yield (ton/hectare, n=5)**

Indicator	NT1 (125 <sup>(1)</sup> )	NT2 (100 <sup>(1)</sup> )	NT3 (83,5 <sup>(1)</sup> )	NT4 (71,5 <sup>(1)</sup> )	SEM	P
* Average total biomass yield/year						
Year 1	121,842	121,127	120,447	119,900	5,960	0,959
Year 2	87,138	89,585	92,519	95,160	4,627	0,071
$\bar{X}$	104,490	105,356	106,483	107,080	5,288	0,815
*Average fresh leaf/year						
Year 1	47,128	46,852	46,589	46,377	2,305	0,959
Year 2	33,705	34,652	35,786	36,808	1,789	0,071
$\bar{X}$	40,417	40,752	41,188	41,593	2,046	0,815
*Average dry-matter production/ year						
Year 1	10,269	10,209	10,152	10,106	0,502	0,959
Year 2	7,344	7,551	7,798	8,020	0,390	0,071

$\bar{X}$	8,807	8,880	8,975	9,063	0,446	0,815
*Average protein production/ year						
Year 1	3,516	3,496	3,476	3,460	0,172	0,959
Year 2	2,515	2,585	2,670	2,746	0,134	0,071
$\bar{X}$	3,015	3,040	3,073	3,103	0,153	0,815

Note:  $\bar{X}$  is the average yield of both years  $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2) / 2$ . Crude protein yield = dry matter yield x crude protein ratio in dry matter of the ration. The ratio of crude protein/ dry matter is 34,24%; (1) a thousand plants/hctare.

Low density cultivation tended to reduce average dry matter and crude protein yield/ hectare/ year in the first year and increase in the second year and average value of two years, but there was no significantly difference between all treatment ( $P > 0,05$ ).

The cost for producing 1 kg of leaf meal was calculated. The cost of seedlings pots accounted to large ratio of total cultivation cost, lead to enhancing the payment for 1 kg of leaf meal in low density cultivation comparing to high density cultivation. The cost for producing 1 kg of leaf meal in the treatments NT2, NT3 and NT4 was 88,64%, 80,39% and 75,34% of NT1, respectively. Therefore, planting *M. oleifera* to produce fodder with a density of about 71,5 – 83,5 thousand plants/ha seemed to be the most effective.

### **3.3. Determining the optimum nitrogen fertilization level for *M. oleifera***

#### **3.3.1. Effect of nitrogen fertilization level on *M. oleifera* yield**



**Table 3.6. Effect of nitrogen fertilization level on *M. oleifera* yield (ton/hectare/year)**

Index	NT1 0N	NT2 20N	NT3 40N	NT4 60N	NT5 80N	SEM	P
<b>* Average Total biomass production/year</b>							
Năm 1	90,205 <sup>f</sup>	100,445 <sup>d</sup>	110,915 <sup>c</sup>	120,445 <sup>b</sup>	126,095 <sup>a</sup>	5,470	0,000
Năm 2	67,510 <sup>d</sup>	76,312 <sup>cd</sup>	84,860 <sup>bc</sup>	92,519 <sup>ab</sup>	97,100 <sup>a</sup>	5,068	0,000
$\bar{X}$	78,858 <sup>d</sup>	88,378 <sup>cd</sup>	97,888 <sup>bc</sup>	106,483 <sup>ab</sup>	111,598 <sup>a</sup>	5,363	0,000
<b>* Average fresh leaf production/ year</b>							
Năm 1	34,890 <sup>f</sup>	38,850 <sup>d</sup>	42,900 <sup>c</sup>	46,590 <sup>b</sup>	48,775 <sup>a</sup>	2,116	0,000
Năm 2	26,113 <sup>d</sup>	29,517 <sup>cd</sup>	32,824 <sup>bc</sup>	35,786 <sup>ab</sup>	37,558 <sup>a</sup>	1,960	0,000
$\bar{X}$	30,502 <sup>d</sup>	34,185 <sup>cd</sup>	37,863 <sup>bc</sup>	41,188 <sup>ab</sup>	43,166 <sup>a</sup>	2,027	0,000
<b>* Average dry-matter production/ year</b>							
Năm 1	8,075 <sup>d</sup>	8,805 <sup>c</sup>	9,540 <sup>b</sup>	10,152 <sup>a</sup>	10,395 <sup>a</sup>	0,468	0,000
Năm 2	6,043 <sup>c</sup>	6,692 <sup>bc</sup>	7,300 <sup>ab</sup>	7,798 <sup>a</sup>	8,004 <sup>a</sup>	0,434	0,000
$\bar{X}$	7,058 <sup>c</sup>	7,750 <sup>bc</sup>	8,421 <sup>ab</sup>	8,975 <sup>a</sup>	9,199 <sup>a</sup>	0,449	0,000
<b>* Average protein production/ year</b>							
Năm 1	2,590 <sup>f</sup>	2,885 <sup>d</sup>	3,196 <sup>c</sup>	3,475 <sup>b</sup>	3,658 <sup>a</sup>	0,158	0,000
Năm 2	1,938 <sup>d</sup>	2,193 <sup>cd</sup>	2,446 <sup>bc</sup>	2,670 <sup>ab</sup>	2,816 <sup>a</sup>	0,146	0,000
$\bar{X}$	2,264 <sup>d</sup>	2,540 <sup>cd</sup>	2,821 <sup>bc</sup>	3,073 <sup>ab</sup>	3,237 <sup>a</sup>	0,151	0,000

Notes: In the horizontal row, numbers carrying different letters have statistically significant differences with  $P < 0,001$ . Average yield of 2 years = (average yield of year 1 + average yield of year 2) : 2

Increasing the level of nitrogen fertilization from 0 – 80 kg N/hectare/time resulted in the increase of total biomass production, fresh leaves, dry matter, crude protein content of *M. oleifera*. Based on the dry matter yield, fertilizing 60N and 80N had highest dry matter yields. However, based on the efficiency of ration nitrogen fertilizer per dry matter production, the fertilizing 20, 40 and 60 kg nitrogen /hectare/ time showed the higher effective production in comparision to 80N treatment, but there was no significantly difference between those treatments. In addition, based on the production cost for each kg leaf meal, fertilizing 60 kgN show the lowest cost. In conclusion,

fertilizing at 60 kg nitrogen/hectare was most optimum for *M. oleifera* cultivation.

### 3.3.2. Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on the *M. oleifera* leaf quality

Increasing the level of nitrogen fertilization caused to the decrease of crude fiber and the increase of crude protein. These results may increase feed intake and feed digestibility of livestock. The total amino acids content was different among treatments, which was higher in 40N treatment in comparison with control and 80N treatments ( $P<0,001$ ). The essential amino acid index (EAAI) was better for the 40N treatment in comparison with 0N and 80N treatments ( $P<0,001$ ). Thus, optimum nitrogen fertilization improved protein quality of *M. oleifera* fodder.

### 3.4. Determination of the optimum cutting interval for *M. oleifera*

#### 3.4.1. Effect of cutting interval on the *M. oleifera* yield

**Table 3.12. *M. oleifera* yield at different intervals cutting**

(ton/hectare/year, n = 5)

Indicator	NT1 30days	NT2 40 days	NT3 50 days	NT4 60 days	NT5 70 days	SEM	P
Average Total biomass production/year							
Year 1	91,476 <sup>f</sup>	105,366 <sup>d</sup>	120,445 <sup>c</sup>	136,188 <sup>b</sup>	152,580 <sup>a</sup>	5,624	0,000
Year 2	74,834 <sup>c</sup>	89,570 <sup>b</sup>	92,519 <sup>b</sup>	106,742 <sup>a</sup>	108,430 <sup>a</sup>	5,106	0,000
$\bar{X}$	83,156 <sup>c</sup>	97,469 <sup>b</sup>	106,483 <sup>b</sup>	121,465 <sup>a</sup>	130,505 <sup>a</sup>	5,337	0,000
Average new leaf production/year							
Year 1	39,144 <sup>b</sup>	46,278 <sup>c</sup>	46,590 <sup>c</sup>	39,876 <sup>b</sup>	37,720 <sup>a</sup>	2,025	0,000
Year 2	32,021 <sup>c</sup>	39,339 <sup>a</sup>	35,786 <sup>b</sup>	31,254 <sup>c</sup>	26,804 <sup>d</sup>	1,867	0,000
$\bar{X}$	35,582 <sup>b</sup>	42,808 <sup>a</sup>	41,188 <sup>a</sup>	35,565 <sup>b</sup>	32,261 <sup>b</sup>	1,934	0,000
Average dry-matter production/ year							
Year 1	7,700 <sup>c</sup>	9,570 <sup>ab</sup>	10,150 <sup>b</sup>	8,900 <sup>a</sup>	8,932 <sup>d</sup>	0,434	0,000
Year 2	6,299 <sup>b</sup>	8,135 <sup>a</sup>	7,798 <sup>a</sup>	6,976 <sup>b</sup>	6,347 <sup>b</sup>	0,395	0,000
$\bar{X}$	6,999 <sup>c</sup>	8,853 <sup>a</sup>	8,975 <sup>a</sup>	7,938 <sup>b</sup>	7,639 <sup>bc</sup>	0,412	0,000
Average protein production/ year							

Year 1	2,650 <sup>f</sup>	3,360 <sup>b</sup>	3,475 <sup>a</sup>	2,982 <sup>c</sup>	2,832 <sup>d</sup>	0,149	0,000
Year 2	2,168 <sup>c</sup>	2,856 <sup>a</sup>	2,670 <sup>ab</sup>	2,477 <sup>b</sup>	2,013 <sup>c</sup>	0,136	0,000
$\bar{X}$	2,409 <sup>c</sup>	3,108 <sup>a</sup>	3,073 <sup>ab</sup>	2,819 <sup>b</sup>	2,422 <sup>c</sup>	0,141	0,000

Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different with ( $P < 0,001$ ).

Increasing the interval cutting period from 30–70 days/cutting led to the increase of dry matter and crude protein yield. Crude protein yield was highest for 40 and 50 days cutting interval treatments ( $P < 0,05$ ). Thus, *M. oleifera* fodder for animal feed purpose should be interval harvested at 40 or 50 days.

#### **3.4.2. Effect of cutting interval on *M. oleifera* leaf quality**

The dry matter in the leaves increased (from 19,67 – 23,68%) with the increase of interval cutting days. However, there was no significantly different with every 10 days (30 – 40; 40 – 50; 50 – 60) interval cutting days. The dry matter in fresh leaves was not significantly different between all treatments, except for 70 days interval cutting. The crude protein was highest in the period of 30 – 40 days (from 34,42 – 35,11%), then gradually declined and was lowest at the 70 - days interval cutting (only 31,70%). The fiber content increased from 7,02 to 10,35% when increased the cutting interval days ( $P < 0,001$ ).

#### **3.5. Determination of digestibility and metabolic energy of *M. oleifera* leaf meal**

The ileal protein, lipid, fiber and free nitrogen extract digestibility of *M. oleifera* leaf meal in broiler chickens were 67,97%, 78,15%, 25,48%, and 72,84%, respectively.

*M. oleifera* leaves and leaf meal (90,68% dry matter) metabolic energy adjusted for nitrogen accumulation in the body of each kg dry matter were 2480 kcal and 2249 kcal, respectively.

#### **3.6. Study on potential of replacing soybean meal with *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in the diet of Luong Phuong broilers**

##### **3.6.1. Body weight and average daily gain of experimental chickens**

**Table 3.20. Chicken body weight and average daily gain, (n=9)**

Index	NT1 0%	NT2 20%	NT3 30%	NT4 40%	NT5 50%	SEM	P
<i>Body weight (g/chicken)</i>							
15 days old	196 <sup>a</sup>	196 <sup>a</sup>	196 <sup>a</sup>	196 <sup>a</sup>	196 <sup>a</sup>	1,160	1,000
42 days old	1020 <sup>b</sup>	1073 <sup>a</sup>	1027 <sup>b</sup>	999 <sup>c</sup>	973 <sup>d</sup>	10,689	0,000
70 days old	1928 <sup>c</sup>	2059 <sup>a</sup>	2008 <sup>b</sup>	1913 <sup>c</sup>	1861 <sup>d</sup>	19,132	0,000
<i>Average daily gain (g/ chicken/ day)</i>							
15 - 42 days old	29,43 <sup>b</sup>	31,32 <sup>a</sup>	29,68 <sup>b</sup>	28,68 <sup>c</sup>	27,75 <sup>d</sup>	0,346	0,000
43 - 70 days old	32,43 <sup>b</sup>	35,21 <sup>a</sup>	35,04 <sup>a</sup>	32,64 <sup>b</sup>	31,71 <sup>c</sup>	0,311	0,000
15 - 70 days old	30,93 <sup>c</sup>	33,27 <sup>a</sup>	32,36 <sup>b</sup>	30,66 <sup>c</sup>	29,73 <sup>d</sup>	0,323	0,000

Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different at  $P < 0,05$

In the 15 – 42 days old period, the replacing 20% and 30% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein showed the highest body and average daily gain in broiler.

In the 43 – 70 days old period, the replacing 20% to 40% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein had not disadvantages effect on broiler performance. Thus, the ratio of *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the broiler diets should depend on the age of chicken.

### 3.6.2. Feed intake and metabolic efficiency

**Table 3.21. Feed intake, feed utilization efficiency of experimental chickens, (n=9)**

Index	NT1 0%	NT2 20%	NT3 30%	NT4 40%	NT5 50%	SEM	P
<i>Feed intake (g/chicken/day)</i>							
15 - 42 days old	65,91 <sup>ab</sup>	66,82 <sup>a</sup>	65,82 <sup>b</sup>	65,07 <sup>bc</sup>	64,36 <sup>c</sup>	0,676	0,000
43 - 70 days old	113,26 <sup>bc</sup>	115,04 <sup>a</sup>	114,32 <sup>ab</sup>	113,46 <sup>bc</sup>	112,43 <sup>c</sup>	1,171	0,000
15 - 70 days old	89,59 <sup>bc</sup>	90,93 <sup>a</sup>	90,07 <sup>ab</sup>	89,27 <sup>bc</sup>	88,39 <sup>c</sup>	0,924	0,000
<i>Feed conversion ratio, FCR (kg)</i>							
15 - 42 days old	2,24 <sup>c</sup>	2,13 <sup>f</sup>	2,22 <sup>d</sup>	2,27 <sup>b</sup>	2,32 <sup>a</sup>	0,012	0,000
43 - 70 days old	3,49 <sup>b</sup>	3,27 <sup>c</sup>	3,26 <sup>c</sup>	3,48 <sup>b</sup>	3,55 <sup>a</sup>	0,018	0,000
15 - 70 days old	2,90 <sup>b</sup>	2,73 <sup>d</sup>	2,78 <sup>c</sup>	2,91 <sup>b</sup>	2,97 <sup>a</sup>	0,014	0,000

*Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different with ( $P < 0,001$ )*

In the 15 – 42 days old period, replacing 20 – 30% soybean meal protein (PKD) with *M. oleifera leaf meal* protein (PBL) % in broiler diets had positive effect on the feed conversion ratio (FCR) of experimental chickens. However, replacing 40 – 50% soybean meal protein (PKD) with *M. oleifera leaf meal* protein showed negative effect on its FCR.

In the 43 – 70 days old period, the FCR of treatment 1 (NT1) was higher than these of treatment 2 (NT2) and 3 (NT3), but its was similar with the treatment 4 (NT4) FCR. Futher, FCR of treatment 5 (NT5) was significantly highest ( $P < 0,001$ ). Thus, replacing 40% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera leaf meal* protein in the 43 – 70 days old broiler diet did not affect feed converted ratio. In summary, the 15 – 70 days old broiler could be fed diet containing 40% *M. oleifera leaf meal* protein.

### **3.6.3. Meat productivity and quality**

#### **3.6.3.1. Meat productivity**

**Table 3.22. Some slaughter parameters of experimental chickens at 70 days old, (n = 5)**

<b>Index</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>NT1 0%</b>	<b>NT2 20%</b>	<b>NT3 30%</b>	<b>NT4 40%</b>	<b>NT5 50%</b>	<b>SEM</b>	<b>P</b>
Carcass weight	g	1486 <sup>c</sup>	1590 <sup>a</sup>	1554 <sup>b</sup>	1473 <sup>c</sup>	1429 <sup>d</sup>	13,866	0,000
Carcass weight/live weight	%	76,80 <sup>a</sup>	77,00 <sup>a</sup>	77,10 <sup>a</sup>	76,70 <sup>a</sup>	76,40 <sup>a</sup>	0,371	0,059
Breast+whole leg/carcass	%	38,84 <sup>ab</sup>	39,48 <sup>a</sup>	39,46 <sup>a</sup>	38,94 <sup>ab</sup>	38,62 <sup>b</sup>	0,421	0,009
Liver/Carcass	%	2,46	2,43	2,45	2,47	2,48	0,029	0,057
Abdominal fat/Carcass	%	2,63 <sup>a</sup>	1,72 <sup>b</sup>	1,55 <sup>c</sup>	1,36 <sup>d</sup>	1,31 <sup>e</sup>	0,016	0,000

*Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different*

Replacing 20% and 30% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein significantly affected on the ratio of breast and whole leg, while replacing 40% and 50% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein did not show significant effect of these ratio, in comparison with treatment 1 (NT1). The ratio of abdominal fat significantly decreased with the increase of *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the diets ( $P < 0,01$ ).

### 3.6.3.2. Meat quality

**Table 3.23. chemical composition of experimental chicken meat, (n = 5)**

Index	Unit	NT1 0%	NT2 20%	NT3 30%	NT4 40%	NT5 50%	SEM	P
a, Breast muscle								
Dry matter	%	25,41	25,30	25,21	25,14	25,05	1,881	0,990
Protein	%	22,98	23,02	23,04	23,10	23,10	1,840	0,884
Lipid	%	1,44 <sup>a</sup>	1,28 <sup>b</sup>	1,16 <sup>c</sup>	1,03 <sup>d</sup>	0,95 <sup>e</sup>	0,274	0,000
b, Whole leg muscle								
Dry matter	%	23,35	23,24	23,20	23,16	23,02	1,804	0,823
Protein	%	19,82	19,84	19,92	19,98	20,01	1,718	0,820
Lipit	%	2,31 <sup>a</sup>	2,15 <sup>b</sup>	2,03 <sup>c</sup>	1,91 <sup>d</sup>	1,75 <sup>e</sup>	0,045	0,000
c,Liver Carotenoid	mg %	0,51 <sup>e</sup>	1,15 <sup>b</sup>	1,22 <sup>b</sup>	1,64 <sup>a</sup>	1,78 <sup>a</sup>	0,124	0,000
d,Jaundice level	point	1,60 <sup>c</sup>	3,17 <sup>b</sup>	3,67 <sup>ab</sup>	4,00 <sup>a</sup>	4,33 <sup>a</sup>	0,658	0,000

Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different

Muscle dry matter and lipid tended to decline, while muscle protein tended to increase with the increase of *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the broiler diets.

In conclusion, the broiler could be fed the diet containing 40% *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein replace to soybean meal protein without effects on growth performance and meat quality. However, replacing 20

– 30% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the broiler diets showed highest growth performance and meat quality.

### 3.7. Study on replacing soybean meal with *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in the breeder Luong Phuong diets

#### 3.7.1. Survival rate and laying rate of experimental breeder.

**Table 3.26. Laying rate of experimental breeder (n=3)**

Experimental week	NT1 (0%)	NT2 (30%)	NT3 (40%)	NT4 (50%)	SEM	P
1	71,43 <sup>a</sup>	71,75 <sup>a</sup>	70,63 <sup>a</sup>	70,48 <sup>a</sup>	0,659	0,125
8	69,05 <sup>ab</sup>	70,32 <sup>a</sup>	69,21 <sup>ab</sup>	67,94 <sup>b</sup>	0,630	0,012
16	53,49 <sup>c</sup>	62,54 <sup>a</sup>	60,00 <sup>b</sup>	53,81 <sup>c</sup>	0,700	0,000
1 – 16	66,08 <sup>c</sup>	69,48 <sup>a</sup>	67,90 <sup>b</sup>	65,83 <sup>c</sup>	0,210	0,000

During 16-weeks of the experiment, no mortality rate of chickens was found, the survival rate of all 4 treatments was 100%.

Replacing soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein up to 50%, the laying rate of chickens did not affect the laying rate of breeder, in comparison with treatment 1. However, replacing 30 – 40% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein increased the laying rate of breeder in comparison with treatment 1. This results proved that *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein could replace to soybean meal protein in the breeder diets. On the other hand, *M. oleifera* leaf meal may provide number of pigment, such as carotenoids, that increase the laying rate in poultry (Tu Quang Hien et al., 2013; Hien et al., 2016).

#### 3.7.2. Egg production and yield of experimental breeder layer

**Table 3.27. Egg production and yield of experimental breeder, (n=3)**

Indicator	Unit	NT1 0%	NT2 30%	NT3 40%	NT4 50%	SEM	P
Total egg production	Egg/g roup	6661 <sup>c</sup>	7004 <sup>a</sup>	6844 <sup>b</sup>	6636 <sup>c</sup>	7,071	0,000
Hen-day egg yield	Egg/l ayer/	74,01 <sup>c</sup>	77,82 <sup>a</sup>	76,04 <sup>b</sup>	73,73 <sup>c</sup>	0,236	0,000

Comparison		100	105,1	102,7	99,6	-	-
Breeding egg production	Egg/g rroup	6426 <sup>c</sup>	6775 <sup>a</sup>	6649 <sup>b</sup>	6457 <sup>c</sup>	8,775	0,000
Breeding egg ratio	%	96,47 <sup>b</sup>	96,73 <sup>ab</sup>	97,15 <sup>ab</sup>	97,30 <sup>a</sup>	0,268	0,018
Breeding egg yield	Egg/l ayer	71,40 <sup>c</sup>	75,28 <sup>a</sup>	73,88 <sup>b</sup>	71,74 <sup>c</sup>	0,292	0,000
comparison	%	100	105,4	103,5	100,5	-	-

Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different

Replacing 30 and 40% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein showed higher hen-day egg production, total egg production, and breeding egg production in comparison to treatment 1. Replacing 50% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein did not affect on performance of breeder. In summary, 50% soybean meal protein in Luong phuong breeder diet could be replaced with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein without effect on laying egg performance. However, replacing 30 – 40% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein seem to be most optimum.

### 3.7.3. Results of surveying several egg parametters

**Table 3.28. Survey of some egg parametters (n=16)**

Index	Unit	NT1 0%	NT2 30%	NT3 40%	NT4 50%	SEM	P
Egg weight	gram	55,454 <sup>a</sup>	55,537 <sup>a</sup>	55,595 <sup>a</sup>	55,744 <sup>a</sup>	0,838	0,796
morphological index	%	1,29 <sup>a</sup>	1,29 <sup>a</sup>	1,30 <sup>a</sup>	1,30 <sup>a</sup>	0,130	0,992
Egg albumen weight	gram	31,314 <sup>a</sup>	31,321 <sup>a</sup>	31,338 <sup>a</sup>	31,411 <sup>a</sup>	0,744	0,982
Egg yolk weight	gram	17,684 <sup>a</sup>	17,742 <sup>a</sup>	17,762 <sup>a</sup>	17,814 <sup>a</sup>	0,687	0,961
Egg shell weight	gram	6,456 <sup>a</sup>	6,474 <sup>a</sup>	6,495 <sup>a</sup>	6,519 <sup>a</sup>	0,352	0,962
Egg albumen ratio	%	56,47 <sup>a</sup>	56,40 <sup>a</sup>	56,37 <sup>a</sup>	56,35 <sup>a</sup>	1,073	0,990



Egg yolk ratio	%	31,89 <sup>a</sup>	31,95 <sup>a</sup>	31,95 <sup>a</sup>	31,96 <sup>a</sup>	1,221	0,998
Egg shell ratio	%	11,64 <sup>a</sup>	11,66 <sup>a</sup>	11,68 <sup>a</sup>	11,69 <sup>a</sup>	0,561	0,995
Egg albumen index	%	0,078 <sup>b</sup>	0,085 <sup>a</sup>	0,086 <sup>a</sup>	0,087 <sup>a</sup>	0,003	0,000
Egg yolk index	%	0,463 <sup>c</sup>	0,471 <sup>bc</sup>	0,485 <sup>ab</sup>	0,492 <sup>a</sup>	0,017	0,000

Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different

Replacing soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein increased albumen and yolk index in comparison to treatment 1. Replacing *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein at all ratio was higher albumen index than treatment 1. However, yolk index of treatment 3 and 4 were significantly higher than other treatments ( $P < 0,001$ ).

#### 3.7.4. Results of analysis of some chemical parameters of eggs

Table 3.29. Some chemical parameters of eggs (n=5)

Indicator	Unit	NT1 0%	NT2 30%	NT3 40%	NT4 50%	SEM	P
a. Egg yolk							
Dry matter	%	50,92 <sup>a</sup>	51,18 <sup>a</sup>	51,06 <sup>a</sup>	50,89 <sup>a</sup>	0,186	0,088
Protein	%	15,74 <sup>b</sup>	16,12 <sup>a</sup>	16,18 <sup>a</sup>	16,22 <sup>a</sup>	0,125	0,000
Lipid	%	33,84 <sup>a</sup>	33,73 <sup>ab</sup>	33,54 <sup>ab</sup>	33,36 <sup>b</sup>	0,219	0,016
Carotenoids	mg%	2,19 <sup>d</sup>	4,62 <sup>c</sup>	6,73 <sup>b</sup>	7,55 <sup>a</sup>	0,201	0,000
b. Egg albumen							
Dry matter	%	13,39 <sup>a</sup>	13,26 <sup>a</sup>	13,18 <sup>a</sup>	13,14 <sup>a</sup>	0,201	0,251
Protein	%	11,82 <sup>a</sup>	11,68 <sup>a</sup>	11,63 <sup>a</sup>	11,59 <sup>a</sup>	0,214	0,380
Lipid	%	0,210 <sup>a</sup>	0,206 <sup>a</sup>	0,195 <sup>a</sup>	0,192 <sup>a</sup>	0,048	0,070
C, Egg yolk colour	point	8,55 <sup>d</sup>	13,41 <sup>c</sup>	14,17 <sup>b</sup>	14,62 <sup>a</sup>	0,246	0,000

Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different

Replacing soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the breeder chicken diets significantly increase yolk protein ( $P < 0,001$ ) while it tended to increase yolk protein ( $P = 0,088$ ). Egg yolk colour was significantly improve with the increase of *M. oleifera* leaf

meal protein content ( $P < 0,001$ ). These results showed that replacing soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein had advantages effect on egg quality.

### 3.7.5. Effect of substitution of soybean meal with *M. oleifera* leaf meal on egg quality

**Table 3.30. Results of monitoring several parameters of incubated eggs, (n=3)**

Index	Unit	NT1 0%	NT2 30%	NT3 40%	NT4 50%	SEM	P
Number of incubated eggs	egg	1500	1500	1500	1500	-	-
Number of embryonated eggs	egg	1377 <sup>a</sup>	1409 <sup>a</sup>	1412 <sup>a</sup>	1415 <sup>a</sup>	7,106	0,184
Percentage of embryonated eggs	%	91,80 <sup>a</sup>	93,93 <sup>a</sup>	94,13 <sup>a</sup>	94,33 <sup>a</sup>	1,421	0,184
Number of hatching eggs	egg	1296 <sup>b</sup>	1351 <sup>a</sup>	1360 <sup>a</sup>	1365 <sup>a</sup>	4,573	0,001
Percentage of hatching embryo eggs	%	94,12 <sup>b</sup>	95,88 <sup>a</sup>	96,32 <sup>a</sup>	96,47 <sup>a</sup>	0,658	0,008
Number of class I newly hatched chicks	Chick	1277 <sup>b</sup>	1332 <sup>a</sup>	1343 <sup>a</sup>	1349 <sup>a</sup>	4,528	0,001
Percentage of class I newly hatched chicks	%	85,13 <sup>b</sup>	88,80 <sup>a</sup>	89,53 <sup>a</sup>	89,93 <sup>a</sup>	0,906	0,001
comparison	%	100	104,31	105,17	105,64	-	-

*Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different*

Replacing soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the breeder chicken diet increased the ratio of embryo egg and type I newly hatched chicks hatching. The increase of dry matter, protein and carotenoid in the breeding egg may enhance the ratio of hatching eggs.

**3.7.6. Effect of replacing soybean meal with *M. oleifera* leaf meal on feed efficiency for egg production**

**Table 3.31. Feed conversion ratio for egg and hatched chicks production, (n=3)**

<b>Index</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>NT1 0%</b>	<b>NT2 30%</b>	<b>NT3 40%</b>	<b>NT4 50%</b>	<b>SEM</b>	<b>P</b>
Egg production/group	egg	6661 <sup>c</sup>	7004 <sup>a</sup>	6844 <sup>b</sup>	6636 <sup>c</sup>	7,071	0,000
Breeding Egg production/ group	egg	6426 <sup>c</sup>	6775 <sup>a</sup>	6649 <sup>b</sup>	6457 <sup>c</sup>	8,930	0,000
Number of class I newly hatched chicks/ group/	chick	5470 <sup>d</sup>	6016 <sup>b</sup>	5953 <sup>a</sup>	5807 <sup>c</sup>	7,001	0,000
Feed conversion rate/10 eggs	Kg	2,401 <sup>a</sup>	2,284 <sup>c</sup>	2,323 <sup>b</sup>	2,410 <sup>a</sup>	0,007	0,000
Conversion rate/breeding eggs	Kg	2,489 <sup>a</sup>	2,361 <sup>c</sup>	2,405 <sup>b</sup>	2,477 <sup>a</sup>	0,011	0,000
Conversion rate/type 1 newly hatched chicks	Kg	0,292 <sup>a</sup>	0,266 <sup>c</sup>	0,269 <sup>c</sup>	0,275 <sup>b</sup>	0,002	0,000
Feed cost/ type 1 newly hatched chicks	VND	2.646 <sup>a</sup>	2.395 <sup>c</sup>	2.430 <sup>c</sup>	2.483 <sup>b</sup>	17,251	0,000

*Note: In the horizontal rows, the numbers carrying different letters are statistically different*

Replacing 30% and 40% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the Luong Phuong breeder diets increased the ratio of breeding egg quality, feed conversion rate and feed cost for type I newly hatched chicks in comparison to treatment 1. Even replacing 50% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein did not affect breeding egg performance. However, the replacement at 30 – 40% seem to have highest economic efficiency in Luong phuong breeder production.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

### 1. Conclusion

1. Cultivation density of *M. Oleifera* at 71.500 plants/hectare showed highest dry matter and crude protein yield (9,063 and 3,103 tons/hectare/year, respectively) and lowest production cost for each kg leaf meal (7950 VND/kg).

2. Applied fertilization of 60 kg nitrogen/hectare was had highest dry matter and crude protein yield (8,975 and 3,073 tons/ha/year respectively), and lowest leaf meal cost production (8.482 VND/kg). The efficient production was of 2,45 kg leaf protein/kg N fertilization.

3. 40 days cutting interval showed the highest the dry matter and crude protein yield (8,853 and 3,108 tons/hectare/year).

4. The ileal proteins, lipids, fibers and nitrogen free extract digestibility of *M. oleifera* leaf meal in broilers were 67,97%, 78,15%, 25,48% and 72,84% respectively. The metabolizable energy corrected with nitrogen accumulation in the chicken body of each kg of dry matter and *M. oleifera* leaf meal (with 90,68% dry matter) was 2480 kcal and 2249 kcal respectively.

5. Replacing 20% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the Luong Phuong broiler diet had highest 70 days old body weight (2.059g), daily weight gain (33,27 g/day); feed conversion ratio (2,73kg feed/kg weight gain).

6. Replacing 30% soybean meal protein with *M. oleifera* leaf meal protein in the Luong Phuong breeder diet had highest egg production (77,82 eggs/hen in 112 days), the ratio of class I newly hatched chicks (88,80%), feed conversion ratio (0,266 kg feed/newly hatched chick) and feed cost (2.395 VND/ newly hatched chick).

### 2. Recommendation

Apply the results of this study into poultry production.

Further studies on pig, fish, etc... should be considered to have comprehensive view of *Moringa oleifera* potential for livestock production.